

GSD ENGINEERS PTY. LTD.
GEOTECHNICAL & STRUCTURAL ENGINEERING

GSD Engineers Pty. Ltd.

ABN 48 166 563 159

E: steve@gsdengineers.com.au

P: 0497 839 711

PO Box 742, Nerang Qld 4211

ENGINEER'S DESIGN / CHECK / INTENT TO INSPECT CERTIFICATE

DATE: 01.06.2026

REF. NO: L15041

SITE ADDRESS: AREAS WITH N2, N3, N4, C2, C3 & C4 WIND CLASSIFICATIONS

PROJECT DESCRIPTION: ROOF BRACKETS

THE EXTENT OF WORK COVERED BY THIS CERTIFICATE:

The roof brackets as shown in the structural plans Decke-A01 are designed and approved by a chartered engineer to take the nominated wind loads to current Australian Standards.

I hereby certify that all the structural work covered by this certificate would comply with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia and the current Australian Standards, in particular:

- AS 1170.0 Structural design actions - General principles
- AS 1170.1 Permanent, Imposed and Other Actions
- AS 1170.2 Structural Design Actions - Wind Actions
- AS 1170.4 Structural Design Actions – Earthquake
- AS 4100 Steel structures
- AS 4600 Cold Formed Steel Structures

With Reference:

- Pull out test on Thermal spacer reports No. LW25-1673-02 General and LW25-1673-03 General by LMATS.

I hereby certify the structural adequacy of the designed structural members subject to the engineers' inspections during construction with site instructions to be issued by the engineers.

Signed: 

Steve (Duong) Nguyen

National Registered NPER 3653285

NSW Registered PRE0001748

Queensland Registered RPEQ 14370

Victoria Registered PE0002518

For and behalf of GSD Engineers Pty Ltd

Pullout test on Thermal spacer report

Report number	LW25-1673-02 General
Customer name	Decke Australia
Address	10 William Anglis Dr, Laverton North, Vic 3026
Requested by	Amit J Tikoo
Purchase Order	COD
Accredited laboratory	LMATS Melbourne Laboratory
Test date	28/10/2025
Job address	LMATS Melbourne Laboratory
Job description	Load Testing on Thermal spacer .
Identification	Refer to Table below
Material grade	Carbon steel
Test specification	Client's Requirements - Report Results
Test method	Tensile load of products-Load test
Test procedure	MTS TP2.2 (I1,R0) (R2025)
Specimen type	Sheet metal Bracket (Refer photo 1)
Surface condition	As assembled
Test area	pull out test to determine force needed to dislodge leg from rail.
Equipment	L002830 (UTM), L1226 (Caliper)
Approved personnel	Prakash Salian (AINDT MT PT L2)
Test results	Refer to Table 1 for test area identification and results

Table 1: Test items identification (provided by the client) and results (All dimensions in mm unless stated otherwise)

Identification	Description	Ultimate Load (kN)	Result	Test date
Bracket sample 1	Thermal spacer Bracket load test	6.8	RP	23/10/25

Test restrictions

Nil

Normative general notes

1. Test and inspection items may be discarded after 6 weeks, unless alternative arrangements are made with LMATS.
2. Samples, identification of samples and all job specific details were supplied by the client. The test results relate only to the items tested or sampled.
3. Any stated nominal pipe sizes and nominal thickness of the material were provided by the client.
4. Where applicable, the Measurement Uncertainty (MU) applies to the test results as per LMATS procedure. MU can be obtained by contacting one of LMATS ISO 17025 accredited laboratories.
5. Acceptance criteria is applied from the test specification. If the test specification does not include acceptance criteria, then the test or inspection results should be referred to a competent authority for further action.
6. Refer to the attached revision notes if this report has been revised. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the issuing laboratory to ensure that parts of a report are not taken out of context. The client or their representatives shall not edit this report.
7. LMATS or its professional indemnity insurance provider do not indemnify the contents within this report or the conformity of a tested product unless the invoice for the reported work is paid in full within the agreed credit terms. Reports will be revoked if the invoice for the completed work is not paid in full.

Abbreviations used in this report

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| A - No discontinuities detected | BT - Burn (melt) Through | C - Comply |
| CP - Crater Pipe | DNC - Does Not Comply | EC - Elongated Cavity (hollow bead) |
| F - Failed | GP - Gas Pore | HiLo - Linear misalignment |
| IC - Copper Inclusion | IL - Linear Inclusion (slag line) | IN - Inclusion |
| IO - Oxide Inclusion (wagon tracks) | IT - Tungsten Inclusion | KC - Crater crack |
| KL - Longitudinal crack | KT - Transverse crack | LI - lack of Inter-run fusion |
| LP - Incomplete root Penetration | LR - lack of Root fusion (missed edge) | LS - lack of Side fusion |
| NRRD - No Recordable Reflections Detected | NUSID - No unacceptable Surface Indications Detected | P - Passed |
| p.d. - Processing / film Defects | PG - Localized Porosity | PL - Linear Porosity |
| PU - Uniform Porosity | RP - Report findings | SED - Excessive Dressing (underflushing) |
| SGL - Incompletely filled Groove | SGS - Shrinkage Groove | SMG - Grinding Mark |
| SMH - Hammer Mark | SMT - Tool Mark (chipping mark) | SRC - Root Concavity (Suck back) |
| SSP - Spatter | SUC(e) - Undercut External | SUC(i) - Undercut Internal |
| SXP - Excessive Penetration | WH - Worm Hole | |

Test observations and results

Objective: The objective of this test was to determine the pull-out strength of the supplied thermal spacer by measuring the maximum force required to dislodge the spacer leg from the associated rail under tensile loading.

Test Setup and Procedure:

A section of the supplied thermal spacer (refer Photo 1) was mounted on a specially designed fixture to enable secure gripping by the hydraulic jaws of the tensile testing machine (refer Photo 2). The test specimen was aligned to ensure axial loading, and tensile force was gradually applied at a controlled rate until failure occurred. The force and displacement were continuously recorded throughout the test.

Results:

The ultimate load recorded during the pull-out test was 6.8 kN, representing the maximum force required to dislodge the leg of the thermal spacer from the rail. The failure location is shown in Photo 3, which indicates that failure occurred at the interface between the leg and the rail engagement area.

Conclusion:

The pull-out test demonstrated that the thermal spacer exhibited an ultimate pull-out strength of 6.8 kN under the specified test conditions. The failure mode suggests that the primary mechanism of failure was material separation at the leg-rail interface. These results can be used to assess the adequacy of the spacer's mechanical performance under service conditions.

Test comments

Nil



Image 1 of 4 - Section of the supplied Thermal spacer bracket



Image 2 of 4 - Test sample with fixture



Image 3 of 4 - Test setup on tensile machine



Image 4 of 4 - Failure location at ultimate load

Pullout test on Thermal spacer report

Report number	LW25-1673-03 General
Customer name	Decke Australia
Address	10 William Anglis Dr, Laverton North, Vic 3026
Requested by	Amit J Tikoo
Purchase Order	COD
Accredited laboratory	LMATS Melbourne Laboratory
Test date	28/10/2025
Job address	LMATS Melbourne Laboratory
Job description	Compression Load Testing on Thermal spacer .
Identification	Refer to Table below
Material grade	Carbon steel
Test specification	Client's Requirements - Report Results
Test method	Tensile load of products-Load test
Test procedure	MTS TP2.2 (I1,R0) (R2025)
Specimen type	Sheet metal Bracket (Refer photo 1)
Surface condition	As assembled
Test area	As nominated by the client
Equipment	L1430 (UTM), L1226 (Caliper)
Approved personnel	Prakash Salian (AINDT MT PT L2)
Test results	Refer to Table 1 for test area identification and results

Table 1: Test items identification (provided by the client) and results (All dimensions in mm unless stated otherwise)

Identification	Description	Ultimate Load (kN)	Result	Test date
Bracket sample 1	Thermal spacer Bracket load test	9.4	RP	27/10/25

Test restrictions

Nil

Normative general notes

1. Test and inspection items may be discarded after 6 weeks, unless alternative arrangements are made with LMATS.
2. Samples, identification of samples and all job specific details were supplied by the client. The test results relate only to the items tested or sampled.
3. Any stated nominal pipe sizes and nominal thickness of the material were provided by the client.
4. Where applicable, the Measurement Uncertainty (MU) applies to the test results as per LMATS procedure. MU can be obtained by contacting one of LMATS ISO 17025 accredited laboratories.
5. Acceptance criteria is applied from the test specification. If the test specification does not include acceptance criteria, then the test or inspection results should be referred to a competent authority for further action.
6. Refer to the attached revision notes if this report has been revised. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without approval of the issuing laboratory to ensure that parts of a report are not taken out of context. The client or their representatives shall not edit this report.
7. LMATS or its professional indemnity insurance provider do not indemnify the contents within this report or the conformity of a tested product unless the invoice for the reported work is paid in full within the agreed credit terms. Reports will be revoked if the invoice for the completed work is not paid in full.

Abbreviations used in this report

- | | | |
|---|--|--|
| A - No discontinuities detected | BT - Burn (melt) Through | C - Comply |
| CP - Crater Pipe | DNC - Does Not Comply | EC - Elongated Cavity (hollow bead) |
| F - Failed | GP - Gas Pore | HiLo - Linear misalignment |
| IC - Copper Inclusion | IL - Linear Inclusion (slag line) | IN - Inclusion |
| IO - Oxide Inclusion (wagon tracks) | IT - Tungsten Inclusion | KC - Crater crack |
| KL - Longitudinal crack | KT - Transverse crack | LI - lack of Inter-run fusion |
| LP - Incomplete root Penetration | LR - lack of Root fusion (missed edge) | LS - lack of Side fusion |
| NRRD - No Recordable Reflections Detected | NUSID - No unacceptable Surface Indications Detected | P - Passed |
| p.d. - Processing / film Defects | PG - Localized Porosity | PL - Linear Porosity |
| PU - Uniform Porosity | RP - Report findings | SED - Excessive Dressing (underflushing) |
| SGL - Incompletely filled Groove | SGS - Shrinkage Groove | SMG - Grinding Mark |
| SMH - Hammer Mark | SMT - Tool Mark (chipping mark) | SRC - Root Concavity (Suck back) |
| SSP - Spatter | SUC(e) - Undercut External | SUC(i) - Undercut Internal |
| SXP - Excessive Penetration | WH - Worm Hole | |

Test observations and results

Objective: The objective of this test was to determine the compressive failure load of the thermal spacer. Test Setup and Procedure:

The thermal spacer was fixed to the purlin, and a uniformly distributed compressive load was applied to measure the maximum load the spacer could sustain before failure (Photo 1).

Results:

The ultimate compressive load recorded during the test was 9.4 kN, representing the maximum force the thermal spacer could withstand before failure. The location of the failure is shown in (Photo 2, 3).

Conclusion:

The compressive test demonstrated that the thermal spacer exhibited an ultimate compressive strength of 9.4 kN under the specified test conditions. The observed failure mode indicates that failure occurred primarily through material crushing at the point of maximum stress. These results can be used to evaluate the adequacy of the spacer's mechanical performance under expected service loads.

Test comments

Nil



Image 1 of 3 - General view of the test setup



Image 2 of 3 - After Test - failure location



Image 3 of 3 - After Test - failure location